

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/718,931	11/20/2003	Kun-Seok Lee	8021-191 (SS-17862-US)	3157
22150 F CHAIL& A	7590 06/22/2007 SSOCIATES, LLC	•	EXAMINER	
130 WOODBURY ROAD WOODBURY, NY 11797			KUMAR, PANKAJ	
		·	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2611	
	,		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
·			. 06/22/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

· ·		·				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/718,931	LEE, KUN-SEOK				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Pankaj Kumar	2611				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	PATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be town  will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from  e, cause the application to become ABANDON	N. mely filed  n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 A	April 2007.					
_						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	<u> </u>					
closed in accordance with the practice under i	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
<ul> <li>4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra</li> <li>5) ☐ Claim(s) 9-15 is/are allowed.</li> <li>6) ☐ Claim(s) 1, 16 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7) ☐ Claim(s) 2-8,17 and 18 is/are objected to.</li> <li>8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or</li> </ul>	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11.	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicat nity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)    Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)   Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06) Application/Control Number: 10/718,931

Art Unit: 2611

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Page 2

## Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) under have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made.

### Response to Amendment

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jovenin USPN 6,703,901 in view of Riley USPN 6,236,703.
- 4. As per claim 1, Jovenin teaches a phase detector for detecting a phase difference between a reference signal and a feedback signal (Jovenin fig. 2, 3: 16); a voltage controlled oscillator for receiving a phase difference control signal based on the detected phase difference, and for oscillating a signal with a frequency based on the detected phase difference (Jovenin fig. 2, 3: 12); a divider for selecting a value from at least three integers according to a predetermined selection signal, for dividing the frequency of the oscillated signal output from the voltage controlled oscillator by the selected value, and for outputting a divided signal as a feedback signal to the phase detector (Jovenin fig. 1, 3: 14, fig. 3: 100); and a sigma-delta modulator for

Application/Control Number: 10/718,931

Art Unit: 2611

adding a predetermined input value to an internal feedback value (Jovenin fig. 3, 4: 30, internal feedback ie from 70a back to 64a), for successively accumulating added values (Jovenin fig. 4: adders), for quantizing an accumulated value to at least three levels (not in Jovenin but would be obvious as explained below), and for converting a quantized value into the predetermined selection signal (Jovenin fig. 3: top output of 30; fig. 4: output of 30; paragraph 16: "The dividing ratios P-1, P, P+1 and P+2 are established in the calculator 40 as a function of the output of the sigma-delta modulator").

- 5. Jovenin does not teach quantizing an accumulated value to at least three levels. Riley 6236703 teaches quantizing an accumulated value (Riley many elements in many figures including fig. 5: 26 quantizing summed value) to at least three levels (Riley abstract: "quantization of a high resolution digital word to a low resolution digital word with three or a higher odd number of possible output levels"). Also, as per the 3 levels, it has been held that a change in size is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art. In re Rose, 105 USPQ 237 (CCPA 1955). Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to implement the teachings of Riley into Jovenin since Jovenin suggests accumulation (something broad) in general and Riley suggests the beneficial use of quantizing the accumulation to three levels such as to reduce bandwidth with reduced resolution and to keep error minimized with the at least 3 quantization levels (Riley: reduced resolution, error) in the analogous art of delta sigma modulation.
- 6. As per claim 16, Jovenin teaches a phase detector for detecting a phase difference between a reference signal and a feedback signal; a voltage controlled oscillator for receiving a phase difference control signal based on the detected phase difference, and for outputting a

Art Unit: 2611

signal with a frequency based on the detected phase difference (Jovenin fig. 2, 3: 12); a divider for selecting a value from a plurality of integers according to a predetermined selection signal, for dividing the frequency of the signal output from the voltage controlled oscillator by the selected value, and for outputting a divided signal as a feedback signal to the phase detector (Jovenin fig. 1, 3: 14, fig. 3: 100); and a modulator (Jovenin fig. 3, 4: 30 sigma delta modulator) for adding a predetermined input value to an internal feedback value, for successively accumulating added values (Jovenin fig. 4: adders, internal feedback ie from 70a back to 64a), for quantizing an accumulated value to a plurality of levels (not in Jovenin but would be obvious as explained below), and for converting a quantized value into the predetermined selection signal (Jovenin fig. 3: top output of 30; fig. 4: output of 30; paragraph 16: "The dividing ratios P.1. P. P+1 and P+2 are established in the calculator 40 as a function of the output of the sigma-delta modulator").

7. Jovenin does not teach quantizing an accumulated value to a plurality of levels. Riley 6236703 teaches quantizing an accumulated value (Riley many elements in many figures including fig. 5: 26 quantizing summed value) to a plurality of levels (Riley abstract: "quantization of a high resolution digital word to a low resolution digital word with three or a higher add number of possible output levels"). Also, as per the plurality of levels, it has been held that a change in size is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art. In re Rose, 105 USPQ 237 (CCPA 1955). Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to implement the teachings of Riley into Jovenin since Jovenin suggests accumulation (something broad) in general and Riley suggests the beneficial use of quantizing the accumulation to a plurality of levels such as to

Art Unit: 2611

reduce bandwidth with reduced resolution and to keep error minimized with the multiple quantization levels (Riley: reduced resolution, error) in the analogous art of delta sigma modulation.

# Allowable Subject Matter

- 8. Claims 2-8, 17-18 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 9. Claims 9-15 are allowed.
- The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

  The art of record does not suggest the respective claim combinations together and nor would the respective claim combinations be obvious with:
- 11. As per claims 9-15: to a fourth order, a quantizer for quantizing an accumulated value output from a last one of the plurality of operation units into a plurality of bits, and a plurality of multipliers for outputting feedback coefficients as internal feedback values to each of the plurality of operation units, wherein the feedback coefficients are determined according to a quantized level corresponding to the plurality of bits

Art Unit: 2611

#### Conclusion

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Pankaj Kumar whose telephone number is (571) 272-3011. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jay Patel can be reached on (571) 272-2988. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Pankaj Kumar Primary Examiner Art Unit 2611

Hans Mun